

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/471,689	1	12/23/1999	SELMER CONRAD BRINGSJORD	YO999-507	7822	
21254	7590	12/16/2004		EXAMINER		
MCGINN &	,	PLLC OUSE ROAD	HOLMES, MICHAEL B			
SUITE 200	OOKING	OUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, V	'A 22182	-3817	2121			

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					· '					
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Commence	09/471,68	9	BRINGSJORD ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	*	Art Unit						
		Michael B.		2121						
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply</li> </ul>	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statu- od will apply and will tute, cause the appli-	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cation to become ABANDONI	mely filed  lys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.					
Status	•									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2004</u> .									
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3)□										
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) <u>1, 3-25 and 32</u> is/are allowed.									
_	•									
7) 🗌										
ا∟(ه	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2000</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accep ne drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. Se d if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
Attachmen	t(s)									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		,					
3) 🔲 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/471,689

Art Unit: 2121



#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

#### Examiner's Detailed Office Action

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 1. Upon further review, the allowance of claims 26-31 is withdrawn. An action on the merits appears below.
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 3. Applicant's invention disclosed in claims 26-31 is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. Claims 26-28 are considered to be an *abstract idea*. It is the examiner's position applicant's invention as claimed is not limited to a *practical application* in the technological arts. While, the claims *appear* to be directed towards a method performed on a computer. However, examination has revealed no computer or computer-readable medium has been disclosed by applicant.
- 4. This deficiency can lead to speculation that applicant's invention may be implemented on paper or by some other means not associated with a computing device. Examiner will not speculate as to the intended meaning, and will leave that to applicant to further clarify, since

Art Unit: 2121

applicant discloses no "certain substances" that have been "transformed or reduced" that is,

applicant claims disclose no specific computer or computer-readable medium.

5. Furthermore, there is no manipulation of *specific* data representing physical objects or activities constituting what one may classify as pre-computer activity, nor does applicant disclose any *specific* independent physical acts being performed by the invention constituting post-computer activity. As aforementioned, it is the examiner's position the claims as presented are nonstatutory, and merely manipulate *abstract ideas* in general without limitation to a practical application whereby "certain substances" are transformed or reduced on a computer or a

computer-readable medium.

6. As for claims 30 and 31, theses claims are considered to be nonstatutory. Applicant's claim to a signal bearing medium is considered to be broad enough to include a transient carrier wave which is not fixed in a tangible medium readable by a machine. For this reason, claims 30

and 31 are rejected.

7. Therefore, claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 USC § 101.

8. It should be noted that if claims 26-29 were amended to recite a "computer" or "computer implemented" method and apparatus the rejection under 35 USC § 101 would be withdrawn.

### Conclusion-

9. Office personnel are to give claims their "broadest reasonable interpretation" in light of the supporting disclosure. *In re Morris*, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. *In re Prater*, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551(CCPA



Application/Control Number: 09/471,689

Art Unit: 2121

1969). See \*also *In re Zletz*, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322(Fed. Cir. 1989) ("During patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms

reasonably allow. . . . The reason is simply that during patent prosecution when claims can be

amended, ambiguities should be recognized, scope and breadth of language explored, and clari-

fication imposed. . . . An essential purpose of patent examination is to fashion claims that are

precise, clear, correct, and unambiguous. Only in this way can uncertainties of claim scope be

removed, as much as possible, during the administrative process."). see MPEP § 2106

Correspondence Information

Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10. examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3686 or email Michael.holmesb@uspto.gov.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the **Examiner's Supervisor**, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Anthony Knight **Supervisory Patent Examiner** 

Group 3600

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner Artificial Intelligence Art Unit 2121

United States Department of Commerce Patent & Trademark Office

December 10, 2004

MBH